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Remark (Linear autonomous systems of ODE). We consider the IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=A x(t), \quad x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \in \mathbb{R} \\
x(\tau)=\xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ is a constant $n \times n$-matrix.

Lemma* (Grönwall inequality).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x(t)\| \leq\|\xi\| \exp (\|A\|(t-\tau)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, \xi, A, \tau$ are as in $\star$
Proof. Suppose that $|\star|$ has some solution $x(t)$ on an interval $I$ such that $\tau \in I$. Consider the case when $\tau \leq t$. The equivalent integral equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\xi+\int_{\tau}^{t} A x(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the norm, applying the triangle inequality twice and using the definition of matrix norm yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|x(t)\| \stackrel{\Delta}{\leq}\|\xi\|+\left\|\int_{\tau}^{t} A x(\sigma) d \sigma\right\| \stackrel{\Delta \int}{\leq}\|\xi\|+\int_{\tau}^{t}\|A x(\sigma)\| d \sigma  \tag{3}\\
\leq\|\xi\|+\int_{\tau}^{t}\|A\|\|x(\sigma)\| d \sigma=: G(t) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We conclude that the RHS (defined as $G(t)$ ) satisfies $G(\tau)=\|\xi\|$ and by the Fundamental theorem of Calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}(t)=\|A\|\|x(t)\| \leq\|A\| G(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using integrating factor on the inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}[G(t) \exp (-\|A\| t)] \leq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating both sides over $(\tau, t)$ and reordering one obtains the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \leq\|\xi\| \exp (\|A\|(t-\tau)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we are done, since $\|x(t)\| \leq G(t)$ as seen above.

Theorem* (Uniqueness of IVP solutions, linear system). The solution to ( $\star$ is unique.

Proof. Suppose that we have two distinct solutions $x(t), y(t)$ such that $x(\tau)=$ $\xi=y(\tau)$ for $\tau \leq t$. Then, by linearity, $z(t):=x(t)-y(t)$ is a solution, with $z(\tau)=0$. By Grönwall's inequality and properties of norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z(t)\| \leq 0 \Longrightarrow\|x(t)-y(t)\|=0 \Longrightarrow x(t) \equiv y(t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the solution is unique by contradiction.

Proposition* (Dimension of solution space, linear system). Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{N}$ be a basis in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$. Then the functions $y_{j}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N}$ defined as solutions to (ฟ) with $y_{j}(\tau)=b_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}(t)=\exp (A(t-\tau)) b_{j} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a basis for the solution space $\mathcal{S}_{\text {hom }}$, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{\text {hom }}=N$.
Proof. Consider a linear combination of $y_{j}(t)$ equal to zero for some time $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\sigma):=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} y_{j}(\sigma)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the trivial (constant zero) solution coincides with $l$ at this time. By uniqueness, $l(t)$ at arbitrary time $t$ must then coincide with the zero solution $\forall t$ and in particular $t=\tau$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(\tau)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} y_{j}(\tau)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{j} b_{j}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and necessarily $\alpha_{j}=0 \forall j$ since $b_{j}$ form a basis, which by definition implies $y_{1}(t), \ldots, y_{N}(t)$ are linearly independent $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Arbitrary initial data $x(\tau)=\xi$ can be represented in the basis as $\xi=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{j} b_{j}$ and the construction above shows that arbitrary solutions can be represented as linear combinations of $y_{j}(t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=e^{A(t-\tau)} \xi=e^{A(t-\tau)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{j} b_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{j} e^{A(t-\tau)} b_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{j} y_{j}(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\left\{y_{1}(t), \ldots, y_{N}(t)\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\text {hom }}$ and accordingly $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}_{\text {hom }}=N$.

Corollary* (Sufficient conditions for stability, linear autonomous system). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}, \mu_{A}=\max \{\operatorname{Re} \lambda: \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ where $\sigma(A)$ is the set of all eigenvalues to $A$. Thus $\mu_{A}$ denotes the maximal real part of the eigenvalues to $A$. Then the following statements are valid:

1. $\|\exp (A t)\|$ decays exponentially iff $\mu_{A}<0$.
$\left(\exists M_{\beta}>0, \beta>0\right.$ s.t. $\left.\|\exp (A t)\| \leq M_{\beta} e^{-\beta t}\right)$
2. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\exp (A t) \xi\|=0$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ iff $\mu_{A}<0$.
(all solutions to $x^{\prime}=A x$ tend to zero)
3. if $\mu_{A}=0$ then $\sup _{t \geq 0}\|\exp (A t)\|<\infty$ iff all purely imaginary and zero eigenvalues are semisimple (i.e. no generalized eigenvectors or alg. mult. is geom. mult.)

Proof. Note that any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ can be represented as $A=T J T^{-1}$ where $J$ is in Jordan canonical form and $T$ is invertible. Furthermore $\|\exp (A t)\|=$ $\left\|T \exp (J t) T^{-1}\right\| \leq\|T\|\left\|T^{-1}\right\|\|\exp (J t)\|$.

Matrices form a finite dimensional linear space and all norms are equivalent; any two norms $\|\cdot\|_{1},\|\cdot\|_{2}$ such that $\exists C_{1}, C_{2}>0 \forall A: C_{1}\|A\|_{1} \leq\|A\|_{2} \leq C_{2}\|A\|_{1}$.

We use the norm $\|A\|_{\max }=\max _{i, j}\left|A_{i j}\right|$ (maximum element). Thus, to show boundedness of $\|\exp (J t)\|$ it is sufficient to show boundedness for all elements in $\exp (J t)$ (and similarly for the behaviour at infinity).

All elements in $\exp (J t)$ have one of the forms $\exp \left(\lambda_{i} t\right)$ or $C \exp \left(\lambda_{i} t\right) t^{p}$ with some $C, p>0$ depending on block ( $\lambda_{i}$ may repeat in different blocks). The absolute values then have the form $\exp \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i} \cdot t\right)$ or $C \exp \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i} \cdot t\right) t^{p}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i} \leq$ $\mu_{A}$ since $\left\|\exp \left(i \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{i} \cdot t\right)\right\|=1$.

Sufficiency (1): If $\mu_{A}<0$ then the maximum of the absolute values satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{i, j}\left|[\exp (J t)]_{i j}\right| \leq M \exp \left(\left(\mu_{A}+\delta\right) t\right) \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{ } 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

tending to zero exponentially for some $M>0$ and $\delta$ such that $-\beta=\mu_{A}+\delta<0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i} \cdot t\right) t^{p} \leq \exp \left(\mu_{A} t\right) t^{p}=\exp \left(\left(\mu_{A}+\delta-\delta\right) t\right) t^{p}  \tag{14}\\
=\exp \left(\left(\mu_{A}+\delta\right) t\right) \underbrace{t^{p} \exp (-\delta t)}_{\rightarrow 0 \Longrightarrow \leq M} \leq M \exp \left(\left(\mu_{A}+\delta\right) t\right)=M e^{-\beta t} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Sufficiency (2): Definition of matrix norm implies that if $\mu_{A}<0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\exp (A t) \xi\| \leq\|\xi\| \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\exp (A t)\|=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sufficiency/Necessity (3): If $\mu_{A}=0$ and there are purely imaginary or zero eigenvalues $\lambda$, then elements in the blocks of $\exp (J t)$ will have the form 1 or $C t^{p}$ by previous reasoning. Therefore the absolute values of these elements will be bounded iff no elements with powers of $t$ are present, i.e. the eigenvalues are semisimple.

Proof of other necessities: see lecture notes (not necessary to learn).
Remark (Inhomogeneous autonomous systems of ODE). We consider the IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=A x(t)+g(t), \quad x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \in \mathbb{R} \\
x(\tau)=\xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A$ is a constant $n \times n$-matrix and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is (piecewise) continuous.
Proposition* (Duhamel's formula, variant). The unique solution to I* with $\tau=0$ is

$$
x(t)=e^{A t} \xi+\int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-\sigma)} g(\sigma) d \sigma
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(t)=e^{A t}\left(\xi+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-A \sigma} g(\sigma) d \sigma\right)  \tag{17}\\
\Longrightarrow x^{\prime}(t)=A e^{A t}\left(\xi+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-A \sigma} g(\sigma) d \sigma\right)+e^{A t} e^{-A t} g(t)=A x(t)+g(t) \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all points where $g$ is continuous.
Now the difference $z(t):=x(t)-y(t)$ between two solutions satisfies $z^{\prime}(t)=$ $A z(t)$ and $z(0)=0$. Uniqueness for homogeneous systems implies $z \equiv 0$ and the solution $x(t)$ is therefore unique.

Theorem* (Stability of equilibrium points to linear systems perturbed by a small RHS). Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a non-empty open subset with $0 \in G$. Consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=A x(t)+h(x) \\
x(0)=\xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(z)}{\|z\|}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ is Hurwitz $(\operatorname{Re} \lambda<0 \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A))$ then 0 is an asymptotically stable equilibrium of $(P \star)$.

Moreover $\exists \Delta>0, C>0, \alpha>0 \forall\|\xi\|<\Delta:\|x(t)\| \leq C\|\xi\| e^{-\alpha t}$ (for all solutions $x(t)$ to $(P \star)$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda<0 \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A)$ then $\exists \beta>0: \operatorname{Re} \lambda<-\beta$ and $\|\exp (A t)\| \leq C e^{-\beta t}$ for some $C>0$. We can choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $C \varepsilon<\beta$ and using (19) choose $\delta_{\varepsilon}$ such that for $\|z\|<\delta_{\varepsilon}, z \in G$ it holds that $\|h(z)\|<\varepsilon\|z\|$.

By Picard-Lindelöf we may now conclude that the solution to $P \star$ exists on some interval $t \in[0, \delta)$ and we may apply the Duhamel formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\exp (A t) \xi+\int_{0}^{t} \exp (A(t-\sigma)) h(x(\sigma)) d \sigma \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As long as $x(\sigma)$ is such that $\left\{x:\|x\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}\right\} \subset G$ the triangle inequality for integrals applies as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x(t)\| & \leq\|\exp (A t)\|\|\xi\|+\int_{0}^{t}\|\exp (A(t-\sigma))\|\|h(x(\sigma))\| d \sigma  \tag{21}\\
& \leq C e^{-\beta t}\|\xi\|+\int_{0}^{t} C e^{-\beta(t-\sigma)} \varepsilon\|x(\sigma)\| d \sigma \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y(t):=\|x(t)\| e^{\beta t}$. Multplying by $e^{\beta t}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \leq C\|\xi\|+\int_{0}^{t}(C \varepsilon) y(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Grönwall inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y(t)\| \leq C\|\xi\| e^{C \varepsilon t} \Longrightarrow\|x(t)\| \leq C\|\xi\| e^{-(\beta-C \varepsilon) t} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $\|x(t)\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}$. Let $\alpha=\beta-C \varepsilon>0$ (requiring $\varepsilon$ small enough), $\Delta=\frac{\delta_{\varepsilon}}{2 C}$ and $\|\xi\|<\Delta$. Such a choice implies $\|\xi\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}$, if this solution exists.

Important argument. The last estimate in fact implies that the solution must exist on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. Suppose that there exists a maximal existence time $t_{\text {max }}$. Firstly, using continuity and boundedness of $x(t)$ on $\left[0, t_{\max }\right)$ with the integral form the orbit set $\left\{x(t): t \in\left[0, t_{\max }\right)\right\}$ is bounded by $\|\xi\| \leq \delta_{\varepsilon}$. The closure $\mathcal{C}$ is therefore compact and thus $h(x)$ (continuous on $G$ ) is bounded on $\mathcal{C}$. Therefore the following limit exists:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{\max }} \int_{0}^{t} e^{A(t-\sigma)} h(x(\sigma)) d \sigma \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $t_{k} \rightarrow t_{\max }$ the sequence of integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{I_{k}=\int_{0}^{t_{k}} e^{A\left(t_{k}-\sigma\right)} h(x(\sigma)) d \sigma\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Cauchy sequence because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{m}-I_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{m}} e^{A\left(t_{m}-t_{k}-\sigma\right)} h(x(\sigma)) d \sigma\right\| \leq C\left|t_{m}-t_{k}\right| \rightarrow 0, m, k \rightarrow \infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we may extend
$x\left(t_{\max }\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{\text {max }}} x(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{\text {max }}}\left(\exp (A t) \xi+\int_{0}^{t} \exp (A(t-\sigma)) h(x(\sigma)) d \sigma\right)=: \eta$.
Secondly, using the existence theorem, there is a solution to $y^{\prime}(t)=A y+h(y)$ on $\left[t_{\max }, t_{\max }+\delta\right)$ with IC $y\left(t_{\max }\right)=\eta$. This is evidently an extension of $x(t)$ which contradicts the assumption of a maximal existence time, and thus $x(t)$ may in fact be extended to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$still satisfying our desired estimate and the asymptotic stability in the origin follows.

Corollary (Chapman-Kolmogorov). For all $t, \sigma, \tau \in J$ the transition matrix function $\Phi(t, \tau)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(t, \tau) & =\Phi(t, \sigma) \Phi(\sigma, \tau)  \tag{29}\\
\Phi(\tau, \tau) & =I  \tag{30}\\
\Phi(\tau, t) \Phi(t, \tau) & =\Phi(\tau, \tau)=I  \tag{31}\\
\Phi(\tau, t) & =(\Phi(t, \tau))^{-1} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem* (Floquet representation). Let $G \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ be a logarithm of the monodromy matrix $\Phi(p, 0)$. There exists a periodic (with period p) piecewise continuously differentiable function $\Theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, with $\Theta(0)=I$ and $\Theta(t)$ non-singular (invertible, non-zero eigenvalues) for all $t$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t, 0)=\Theta(t) \exp \left(\frac{t}{p} G\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall the main property of the monodromy matrix; for $\tau=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t+p, 0) \stackrel{\mathrm{CK}}{=} \Phi(t+p, p) \Phi(p, 0) \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{=} \Phi(t, 0) \Phi(p, 0) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $\frac{1}{p} G=: F$ for convenience, so that $\log (\Phi(p, 0))=G=p F$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(t):=\Phi(t, 0) \exp \left(-\frac{t}{p} G\right)=\Phi(t, 0) \exp (-t F) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well-defined. We now show it has the desired properties: (1) periodicity $p$ and (2) satisfies the initial condition.

Recall $\Theta(0)=I$ and $\Theta(n p)=I, n=0,1,2 \ldots$. It holds that $\Phi(t, 0)$ is (pw) continuous if $A(t)$ is ( pw ) continuous. Therefore $\Theta(t)$ is ( pw ) continuous,
because $\exp (-t F)$ is continuously differentiable. Also, $\Theta(t)$ is invertible for all $t$ as a product of two non-singular matrices.

We now check

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta(t+p, 0)=\Phi(t+p, 0) \exp (-(t+p) F)=\Phi(t+p, 0) \exp (-G) \exp (-t F)  \tag{36}\\
=\left\{e^{-G}=\left(e^{G}\right)^{-1}=(\Phi(p, 0))^{-1}=\Phi(0, p)\right\}=\Phi(t+p, 0) \Phi(0, p) \exp (-t F)  \tag{37}\\
\stackrel{\mathrm{M}}{=} \Phi(t, 0) \Phi(p, 0) \Phi(0, p) \exp (-t F)=\Phi(t, 0) \exp (-t F)=\Theta(t) . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem* (Floquet multiplier boundedness). The Floquet multipliers are the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix. Every solution to a periodic linear system
(i) is bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$iff the absolute value of each Floquet multiplier $\leq 1$ and any Floquet multiplier with absolute value 1 is semisimple.
(ii) tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ iff the absolute value of each Floquet multiplier is $<1$.

Proof. By the Floquet theorem any solution $x(t)$ to $x^{\prime}(t)=A(t) x(t), A(t+p)=$ $A(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $x(\tau)=\xi$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\Theta(t) \exp (t F) \Phi(0, \tau) \xi=\Theta(t) \exp (t F) \zeta \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=\frac{1}{p} \log (\Phi(p, 0)), \zeta=\Phi(0, \tau) \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $\Theta(t)$ is a $p$-periodic invertible (pw) continuous matrix function.

We define $y(t)=\exp (t F) \zeta$ as a solution to $y^{\prime}=F y, y(0)=\zeta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\Theta^{-1}(t) x(t) \Longleftrightarrow x(t)=\Theta(t) y(t) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mapping $\Theta$ defines a one-to-one correspondence between $x$ and $y$. Periodicity and continuity of $\Theta(t)$ imply that $\exists M>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Theta(t)\|,\left\|\Theta^{-1}(t)\right\| \leq M \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \Longrightarrow\|x(t)\| \leq M\|y(t)\|,\|y(t)\| \leq M\|x(t)\| \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore
(1) $\|x(t)\|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$iff $\|y(t)\|=\left\|e^{t F} \zeta\right\|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$
(2) $\|x(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ iff $\|y(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Since $\log (\Phi(p, 0))=G=p F$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma(\Phi(p, 0)) & =\{\exp (\lambda p): \lambda \in \sigma(F)\}  \tag{42}\\
\sigma(F) & =\left\{\frac{1}{p} \log (\mu): \mu \in \sigma(\Phi(p, 0))\right\} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

when algebraic and geometric multiplicities coincide. Recall $\log (z)=\ln |z|+$ $i \operatorname{Arg} z, \exp (z)=\exp (\operatorname{Re} z)(\cos (\operatorname{Im} z)+i \sin (\operatorname{Im} z))$. The Floquet multiplier $\mu$ (and the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda$ to F ) has
(a) $|\mu|<1$ iff $\operatorname{Re} \lambda<0$
(b) $|\mu| \leq 1$ iff $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0$
(c) $|\mu|=1$ and semisimple iff $\operatorname{Re} \lambda=0$ and semisimple.

Known relations between solutions to an autonomous system and the spectrum of corresponding matrix imply properties of $y(t)$ and thus with 1) 2) a) b) c) imply the theorem.

Remark (Non-linear systems of ODE). We consider the IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(t, x), \quad f: J \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
x(\tau)=\xi
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ open, $(\tau, \xi) \in J \times G, f$ continuous in $J \times G$.
Corollary ('Eternal life' of solutions enclosed in compact set). Let $x: I_{\xi} \rightarrow G$ be a maximal solution to $|\star \star\rangle$. Suppose that its positive semi-orbit $O_{+}(\xi)$ is contained in a compact subset $C \subset G$. Then $I_{\xi}$ is infinite to the right (with respect to $J$ ), i.e. $J \cap[\tau, \infty) \subseteq I_{\xi}$. Similar statements apply for the negative semi-orbit $O_{-}(\xi)$ and backwards time, and the orbit $O(\xi)$ and the whole $J$.

Proposition* (Extensibility of solutions, linear bound on RHS). Consider the IVP $\mid \star \star$, with $f$ locally Lipschitz in $x$. Assume that for any compact interval $K \subset J$ there exists $L>0$ such that for $t \in K$ the RHS does not grow faster than linearly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, x)\| \leq L(1+\|x\|) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a maximal solution to the equation, then $I=J$.
Proof. Define $\omega:=\sup I, \alpha:=\inf I$. Suppose the statement is false; e.g. $\omega \in$ $J, \omega \notin I$, and $\tau<\omega$. Choose the constant $L$ such that the estimate above is valid for $t \in[\tau, \omega]$. Using the integral form and the triangle inequality for integrals,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x(t)\| \leq & \|x(\tau)\|+\int_{\tau}^{t}\|f(s, x(s))\| d s \leq\|x(\tau)\|+L \int_{\tau}^{t}(1+\|x(s)\|) d s \\
& =\|x(\tau)\|+L(t-\tau)+L \int_{\tau}^{t}\|x(s)\| d s \quad \forall t \in[t, \omega) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

By Grönwall's inequality, $\|x(t)\|$ is bounded by some constant $C$ on $[t, \omega)$. Thus the corresponding orbit $\{x(t): t \in[t, \omega)\}$ is bounded by a compact. Lemma 4.9 implies that the solution can be extended to the closed interval $[t, \omega]$, and actually by existence theorem to an even larger interval beyond, which contradicts the supposition that $I$ is a maximal interval.

The proof is analogous for $\alpha \in J, \alpha \notin I$, and $\tau>\alpha$.

Proposition (Properties of $\omega$-limit sets). Let $\xi \in G$. Let the closure of the positive semi-orbit $\overline{O^{+}(\xi)}$ be compact and contained in $G$. Then $\mathbb{R}_{+} \subset I_{\xi}$ and the $\omega$-limit set $\Omega(\xi) \subset G$ is (1) non-empty, (2) compact, (3) connected, (4) invariant (both positively and negatively) under the local flow, and (5) trajectories approach $\Omega(\xi)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Poincaré-Bendixson). Suppose that $\xi \in G \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is such that the closure of the positive orbit $O_{+}(\xi)$ is compact and contained in $G$, and the $\omega$-limit set $\Omega(\xi)$ does not contain any equilibrium points. Then $\Omega(\xi)$ is an orbit of a periodic solution.

Proposition* (Bendixson's criterion for non-existence of periodic solutions). Let $x^{\prime}=f(x)=\left[f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right]^{\top}$ with $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, G \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ open, $f \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(G)$ (although in pratice locally Lipschitz suffices), and let $D \subset G$ be a simply connected domain.

Suppose that $\nabla \cdot f=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}$ is strictly positive (or strictly negative) in $D$. Then the equation has no periodic solutions with orbits inside $D$.

Proof. Suppose it were not so; that there is a periodic trajectory $x(t)$ with period $T>0$ in $D$ and $x(t+T)=x(t)$. Denote $x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=f_{1}(x(t)), x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=f_{2}(x(t))$, and the orbit of $x(t)$ by $\mathcal{L}=\{x(t): t \in[0, T]\}$. It will be a closed simple curve. Denote the interior domain by $\Omega$. Since $D \supset \Omega$ is simply connected $\partial \Omega=\mathcal{L}$. By Gauss theorem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I:=\iint_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot f d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\partial \Omega} f \cdot n d l \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the outward normal to the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Note $f(x(t))=x^{\prime}(t)$ on $\partial \Omega=\mathcal{L}$ because it is an orbit. Therefore $f(x(t))$ is the tangent vector to $\partial \Omega$, $f \perp n$, and $I=0$. On the other hand $\nabla \cdot f>0($ or $<0)$ in the whole $D \supset \Omega$. Therefore the integral $I$ over a bounded domain $\Omega$ must be strictly positive (or negative), which is a contradiction, and the system cannot have a periodic orbit in $D$.

Theorem* (Stability by Lyapunov function). Consider the system $x^{\prime}=$ $f(x), f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ locally Lipschitz continuous, $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ open. Let $0 \in G$ be an equilibrium point. Suppose there exists $V: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ positive definite continuously differentiable $\left(\mathcal{C}^{1}(U)\right)$ such that $U \subset G, 0 \in U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V}{d t}=V_{f}(z)=\nabla V \cdot f(z) \leq 0 \quad \forall z \in U \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then 0 is a stable equilibrium point.
Proof. Take arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B(\varepsilon, 0) \subset U$. Let $\alpha:=\min _{z \in \partial B(\varepsilon, 0)} V(z)$, which exists since the sphere $\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)$ is compact and $V$ continuous. Then
$\alpha>0$ because $V(z)>0$ outside the equilibrium point. By continuity of $V$ and $V(0)=0$ one can find $\delta \in(0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\forall \in B(\delta, 0)$ it holds that $V(z)<\alpha / 2$.

On the other hand, for any part of the trajectory $x(t)=\varphi(t, \xi)$ inside $U$, the function $V(\varphi(t, \xi))$ is non-increasing because $\dot{V}(\varphi(t, \xi)) \leq 0$. Therefore all trajectories $\varphi(t, \xi)$ with initial condition $\xi \in B(\delta, 0)$ satisfy $V(\bar{\xi})<\alpha / 2$, implying $V(\varphi(t, \xi))<\alpha / 2$ and $\varphi(t, \xi)$ cannot reach $\partial B(\varepsilon, 0)$ where $V(z) \geq \alpha$. Any such trajectory therefore stays within $B(\varepsilon, 0)$ and the equilibrium point is stable by definition. Also, $\mathbb{R}^{+} \subset I_{\xi}$, since the trajectory stays inside a compact set.

Theorem* (LaSalle's invariance principle). Suppose $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is locally Lipschitz and let $\varphi(t, \xi)$ denote the flow generated by the system $x^{\prime}=f(x)$. Let $U \subset G$ be non-empty and open. Let $V: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuously differentiable such that $V_{f}(z) \leq 0$ for all $z \in U$. If $\xi \in U$ is such that the closure of the positive semi-orbit $O^{+}(\xi)$ is compact and contained in $U$, then
(i) $\mathbb{R}_{+} \subset I_{\xi}$, the maximal interval for $I C \xi$
(ii) as $t \rightarrow \infty, \varphi(t, \xi)$ approaches the largest invariant set contained in $V_{f}^{-1}(0)=\left\{z \in U: V_{f}(z)=0\right\}$.

Proof. Let $x(t):=\varphi(t, \xi)$. By continuity of $V$ and compactness of the closure $\overline{O^{+}(\xi)}, V$ is bounded on $O^{+}(\xi)$ and therefore the function $V(x(t))$ is bounded. Since $\frac{d}{d t} V(x(t))=V_{f}(x(t)) \leq 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, V(x(t))$ is non-increasing. We conclude that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} V(x(t))$ must exist and is finite $=: \lambda$.

Take an arbitrary $z \in \Omega(\xi)$ (the $\omega$-limit set). By definition, there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $t_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $x\left(t_{k}\right) \rightarrow z$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By continuity of $V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} V\left(x\left(t_{k}\right)\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} V(x(t))=\lambda \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $V(z)=\lambda \forall z \in \Omega(\xi)$ !
By the invariance of $\Omega(\xi)$ with respect to $\varphi$, if $z \in \Omega(\xi)$ then $\varphi(t, z) \in$ $\Omega(\xi) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $V(\varphi(t, z))=\lambda \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, and furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{f}(\varphi(t, z))=\frac{d}{d t} V(\varphi(t, z))=\frac{d}{d t} \lambda=0 \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varphi(0, z)=z$ and $z \in \Omega(\xi)$ is arbitrary it follows that $V_{f}(z)=0 \forall z \in \Omega(\xi)$ and hence $\Omega(\xi) \subset V_{f}^{-1}(0)$.

The statement now follows from the main theorem about limit sets (4.38) that states $\Omega(\xi)$ is invariant and $x(t)$ approaches $\Omega(\xi)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma (Banach contraction principle). Let A be a non-empty closed subset of a Banach space $X$ and $K: A \rightarrow A$ be a a contraction operator with contraction constant $\theta<1$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K(x)-K(y)\|_{X} \leq \theta\|x-y\|_{X} \quad \forall x, y \in A \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a unique fixed point $\bar{x} \in A$ to $K$ such that $K(\bar{x})=\bar{x}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|K^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)-\bar{x}\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{\theta^{n}}{1-\theta}\left\|K\left(x_{0}\right)-\bar{x}\right\|_{X} \quad \forall x_{0} \in A \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K^{n}=K \circ \cdots \circ K$ applied $n$ times.

Theorem* (Picard-Lindelöf). Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open, $\tau \in J, \xi \in G, f$ be continuous in $J \times G$. If $f$ is Lipschitz with respect to $x \in$ $G$ with Lipschitz constant $L>0$, there is a unique solution $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ to the IVP. (A stronger version uses local Lipschitz conditions, and combines the theorem about maximal extensions.)

Proof. Consider the operator derived from the integral form of the corresponding IVP:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)(t)=\xi+\int_{\tau}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the Banach space of continuous functions $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ on some compact interval $I \subset J$. Let $I=[\tau, \tau+T] \subset J$, for some $T>0$. (Considering the backwards direction is done in a similar way.)

Firstly, we find conditions on $T$ and a subset $A \subset C(I)$ such that $K$ maps $A$ to itself: $K: A \rightarrow A$. Choose first a closed ball $\overline{B(\xi, \delta)}=\{x:\|x-\xi\| \leq \delta\}$ such that it belongs to $G$. The function $f(t, x)$ is continuous on the compact set $V=I \times \overline{B(\xi, \delta)} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\sup _{(t, x) \in V}\|f(t, x)\|<\infty \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K(x(t))-\xi\|=\left\|\int_{\tau}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s\right\| \leq \int_{\tau}^{t}\|f(s, x(s))\| d s \leq T M \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by choosing $T<\delta / M$ it holds that $\|K(x(t))-\xi\| \forall t \in I$. Taking the supremum of both sides yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in I}\|K(x(t))-\xi\|=\|K(x)-\xi\|_{C(I)} \leq \delta \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the operator $K$ maps the closed ball $A \subset C(I)$ defined by the inequality $\|x-\xi\|_{C(I)} \leq \delta$ (when $\left.T<\delta / M\right)$ into itself $(K: A \rightarrow A)$.

Secondly, we find conditions on $T$ such that $K$ is a contraction on the subset $A \subset C(I)$. Consider the difference between two $x, y \in C(I)$, applying the triangle inequality and the Lipschitz property for the appropriate estimation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|K(x(t))-K(y(t))\|=\left\|\int_{\tau}^{t} f(s, x(s))-f(s, y(s)) d s\right\| \\
\Delta \int_{\tau}^{t}\|f(s, x(s))-f(s, y(s))\| d s \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\leq} L \int_{\tau}^{t}\|x(s)-y(s)\| d s  \tag{56}\\
\quad \Delta \int_{\leq} L T \sup _{s \in I}\|x(s)-y(s)\|=L T\|x-y\|_{C(I)}
\end{gather*}
$$

which implies that for $T<1 / L$ the contraction property holds.
Therefore, choosing $T<\min \{\delta / M, 1 / L\}$ we conclude that the operator $K$ maps the closed ball $A=\left\{x \in C(I):\|x-\xi\|_{C(I)} \leq \delta\right\}$ into itself $(K: A \rightarrow A)$ and that $K$ is a contraction on $A$, i.e. $\|K(x)-K(y)\|_{C(I)} \leq \theta\|x-y\|_{C(I)}, \theta<$ 1 , for any $x, y \in A$. By the Banach contraction principle $K$ has for $T<$ $\min \{\delta / M, 1 / L\}$ a unique fixed point $\bar{x} \in A$ that is the solution to the IVP.

